PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference IH-151		URTHER ACTION	R ACTION See Form PCT/PEA/416							
International application No. International filing date PCT/JP2004/009598 30.06.2004		onal filing date <i>(day/month/y</i> 2004	· ·	rity date <i>(day/month/yea</i> 07.2003	ar)					
International Patent Classi A01N47/36	International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC A01N47/36									
Applicant ISHIHARA SANGYO KAISHA LTD. et al.										
This report is the i Authority under Ai	This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.									
2. This REPORT cor	nsists of a total of 5 sheet	s, including this cover sh	neet.							
3. This report is also	accompanied by ANNEX	ES, comprising:								
		·	of sheets, as follow	ws:						
☐ sheets and/or										
beyone	sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.									
b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)), containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).										
4. This report contain	ns indications relating to the	ne following items:								
Box No. I	Basis of the opinion									
☐ Box No. II Priority			·							
☐ Box No. III	Non-establishment of opir	ion with regard to novel	ty, inventive step ar	nd industrial applicab	oility .					
☐ Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention				•					
☑ Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement									
☐ Box No. VI	Certain documents cited									
☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international applie										
⊠ Box No. VIII	VIII Certain observations on the international application									
Date of submission of the o	lemand	Date of co	mpletion of this report							
			promorror uno roport							
10.12.2004			04.07.2005							
Name and mailing address of the international			Officer		Date					
preliminary examining authority: European Patent Office			No. +49 89 2399-		Santhernes					
D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465										
		1			. Office europ.					

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/JP2004/009598

	Box	(No. I	Basis of the repo	rt				
1.	With filec	h regard I, unles:	n regard to the language , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it wa , unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
		which inte	is the language of a ernational search (ur plication of the intern	translation furnished ader Rules 12.3 and ational application (e,	
2. With regard to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on (replacementary have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):							ment sheets whicl eferred to in this	
	Des	cription	, Pages					
	1-71	İ		as originally filed				
	Clai	ms, Nur	nbers					
	1-22	2		as originally filed				
		a sequ	ence listing and/or a	nny related table(s) -	see Supplemental Box	Relating to Sequer	nce Listing	
3.		☐ the ☐ the ☐ the ☐ the	description, pages claims, Nos. drawings, sheets/fig sequence listing (sp					
4.	Sup	not been plemen the the the the	en made, since they tal Box (Rule 70.2(description, pages claims, Nos. drawings, sheets/fig sequence listing (sp.	have been consider c)).	t) the amendments anned to go beyond the dis			
	*	If it	em 4 applies, s	ome or all of t	hese sheets may l	oe marked "supe	erseded."	

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

12

No: Claims

1-11,13-22

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-22 (insofar as novel)

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-22

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

1). Herbicidal compositions comprising a herbicidal sulfonylurea and an alkoxylated glyceride, in particular a polyoxyethylene (optionally hydrogenated) castor oil, have been disclosed in all documents cited X in the International Search Report as can be seen from the specific citations in the ISR, especially the examples.

The subject-matter of present claims 1 - 11 and 13 - 22 hence is not novel with respect to the citations of the ISR (Art. 33 (2) PCT).

The fact that other formulation ingredients, such as dicarboxylic acid esters (GB 2 309 904 (= D1)) or particular solvent systems and/or further surfactants (WO 98/16102 A1 (= D2), WO 00/25586 A1 (= D3)), EP 598 515 A1 (= D4) and EP 313 317 A2 (= D5)) are present in the formulations of the prior art is not relevant since the claimed compositions also envisage the presence of such further ingredients (see, for example, present claims 10 and 11 and the description, page 19 - 23, disclosing various additives including ingredients of the formulations of the prior art.)

It is further pointed out, that it is well-known in the art, that addition of surfactants generally increases the effect of herbicides. The subject-matter of present claims 18 and 19 hence is anticipated by D1 - D5 as well.

- 2). a). The subject-matter of claim 12 is novel, since a combination of sulfonylurea, alkoxylated glyceride and a coadjuvant selected from a chelating agent and a nitrogen-containing fertilizer has not been disclosed in the prior art. It is, however, not clear which particular technical problem has been solved in a non-obvious way since further addition of such adjuvants is common practice in the art. Claim 12 hence is not considered to be based on an inventive step (Art. 33 (3) PCT).
- b). Even if the claims were formulated in such a way, that they are clearly and unequivocally distinct from the contents of D1, their subject-matter would not be considered inventive since no surprising effects as compared to the effects of compositions based on castor oil derivatives have been demonstrated. It is evident from D1, that it is known in the art that ethoxylated castor oil is the preferred alkoxylated glyceride for use in sulfonylurea formulations (D1: page 4, line 29/30). The formulations of D2 D5 also show, that ethoxylated castor oil is a preferred nonionic surfactant for sulfonylurea formulations.

It would be obvious to replace the castor oil derivatives of the formulations of D1 - D6 by other, closely analogous alkoxylated glycerides, such as those disclosed in D1, page

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/009598

4 from line 5 onwards.

on claim 13.

3). The number of 8 independent method claims (for controlling undesired plants) (claims 13 - 17 and 20 - 22) is too high in view of the requirements of conciseness (Art. 6 PCT; see also Guidelines WIPO 5.13 and 5.42).

Method claims 14 - 17 and 20 - 22 hence should be reformulated as claims dependent

The present formulation of claim 22 suggests that after application of a diluted sulfonylurea-glyceride formulation, an additional amount of alkoxylated glyceride is applied to the undesired plants. The intended scope of protection of this claim hence is ambiguous (Art. 6 PCT).